arXiv:1512.01703v1 [physics.class-ph] 5 Dec 2015

Quaternions in Hamiltonian dynamics of a rigid body – Part III. Asymmetric Top in the Orbitron

Stanislav S. Zub
Faculty of Cybernetics,
Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv,
Glushkov boul., 2, corps 6.,
Kyiv, Ukraine 03680
stah@univ.kiev.ua

Sergiy I. Zub
Institute of Metrology,
Mironositskaya st., 42,
Kharkiv, Ukraine 61002
sergii.zub@gmail.com

December 8, 2015

Abstract

The Poisson structure in the quaternion variables was proposed for asymmetric top in the external axially symmetric magnetic field. For that model of interaction the motion equation were got. The model was simulated in the neighbourhood of a relative equilibrium of the symmetric top – Orbitron by Monte Carlo method. For small deviations with respect to the symmetry we observe the persistence of the stability.

Keywords: quaternion, Poisson structure, asymmetric top, Orbitron.

Contents

1 Introduction 4

2 Mathematical model 6

3 Geometric integrator 9

4 Estimation of physical parameters 10

1 Introduction

In papers [1,2] were present the basic theoretical results on the investigation of use quaternions in Hamiltonian dynamics of a rigid body and in particular in the framework of the Poisson structure for quaternion variables we have the brackets

$$
(1) \qquad \begin{cases} \{x_i, x_k\} = 0, \quad \{x_i, q_\mu\} = 0, \quad \{x_i, \Pi_j\} = 0; \\ \{p_i, q_\mu\} = 0, \quad \{p_i, \Pi_j\} = 0; \\ \{x_i, p_k\} = \delta_{ik}, \quad i,k = 0,1,2; \\ \{q_\mu, q_\nu\} = 0, \quad \mu,\nu = 0,1,2,3; \\ \{\Pi_i, q_0\} = q_i; \\ \{\Pi_i, q_j\} = -q_0\delta_{ij} - \varepsilon_{ijl}q_l; \\ \{\Pi_i, \Pi_j\} = -2\varepsilon_{ijl}\Pi_l \end{cases}
$$

with quite general form of Hamiltonian:

$$
(2) \qquad H\left((\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{p}),(q,\boldsymbol{\Pi})\right) = \frac{1}{2m}\boldsymbol{p}^2 + T_{spin}\left((\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{p}),(q,\boldsymbol{\Pi})\right) + V(\boldsymbol{x},q)
$$

where

$$
(3) \qquad T_{spin}\left((\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{p}),(q,\boldsymbol{\Pi})\right) = \frac{1}{8}\boldsymbol{\Pi}\mathbb{I}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Pi} = \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\Pi_1^2}{I_1} + \frac{\Pi_2^2}{I_2} + \frac{\Pi_3^2}{I_3}\right)
$$

($\mathbb{I}$ – the diagonal matrix of the tensor of inertia in the coordinates system associated with a body, where corresponding diagonal elements are I_1, I_2, I_3; $\Pi = 2\mathrm{M}$, where M_i – components of the intrinsic angular momentum in body frame) the motion equations of a rigid body were obtained [1]:

$$
(4) \qquad \begin{cases} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{1}{m}\boldsymbol{p}; \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{p}} = -\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}}V; \\ \dot{q} = \frac{1}{2}q\boldsymbol{\Omega} \longrightarrow q^{-1}\dot{q} = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\Omega}; \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\Pi}} = -\Im\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\boldsymbol{\Pi} + q^{-1}\nabla^{(q)}V\right) \end{cases}
$$

where $\Im(\ )$ — vectorial part of the quaternion; x_i – the coordinates of the center of mass a rigid body, $q = (q_0, \boldsymbol{q})$ – the unit quaternion that describes

the rotation from the inertial reference frame to the frame of reference connected with a body, p_i – the components of the linear momentum, Π_i – the components of the angular momentum in the frame of reference associated with the body.

Here the translational degrees of freedom are given in the inertial reference frame, and rotational in the reference frame associated with the body, i.e. we have "mixed representation".

It is interesting to apply the equations (4) to the solve of substantial physical problem.

For example, lets consider the problem of stability of the quasi orbital motions of a small magnetized rigid body in an axially symmetric magnetic field [3,4,5]. In the cited papers was assumed that a small body with magnetic moment is a symmetric top (i.e. $I_1 = I_2 = I_\perp$) in terms of mechanics. And we applied the group-theoretic methods of Hamiltonian mechanics for investigations of them [4,6,7]. For so-called relative equilibria the authors succeeded in obtain an analytical proof of the motion stability.

Notice that a symmetrical rigid body is an idealized case, so it is important to know whether the stability is retained for the small deviations from symmetry, i.e. for $I_1 \neq I_2$. But in that case the group-theoretical approach that previously used becomes an unapplicable. The direct numerical modeling of equations (4) is an alternative to an analytical study.

From a physical point of view, reasonable to expect that for small deviations of the body parameters from a symmetric top and small deviations of initial conditions from initial conditions of relative equilibrium a perturbation of the trajectory will be also small and so stability that was detected analytically will remain unchanged.

Remark. From a philosophical point of view, any experiment, i.e. natural or numerical simulation can not prove the system stability. They only can gives an arguments in favor of an existance of the stability.

From [8,9] known that description of a rigid body motion in quaternion variables are substantially increases the efficiency of numerical simulation in comparison with a description in matrices.

Among other things in contrast to the Euler angles the description in quaternion variables is invariant and not required the computation of trigonometric function for the variables. Beside that the description has only one redundant parameter in contrast of matrix description (see [6,10]). Indeed the only one equation associates the four parameters of a quaternion vs matrix description when orthogonality supported by using six constrains between its

elements.

$$q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 = 1 \tag{5}$$

However, even condition (5) leads to the problems at the numerical simulation of the equations (4). This problem is well known and usually solved by creation of special geometric integrators [11]. In particular the corresponding integrator based on use of orthogonal matrices for description of the rigid body dynamics was proposed in paper [10].

Mentioned above the advantage of using quaternion variables spreads on their using in the integrator that is proposed here.

2 Mathematical model

Our model consists from the following elements.

1. Magnetic field of the Orbitron.

Two opposite magnetic poles $\pm\kappa$ will be placed on z-axis at $\mp h$ points. Thus the magnetic field of the Orbitron $\boldsymbol{B}$ has the form:

$$\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{\varepsilon=\pm 1} \boldsymbol{B}_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{r}), \qquad \boldsymbol{B}_\varepsilon = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\varepsilon\kappa\frac{\boldsymbol{r} - \varepsilon h\boldsymbol{e}_z}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \varepsilon h\boldsymbol{e}_z|^3} \tag{6}$$

By its construction the field $\boldsymbol{B}$ has axial symmetry with respect to z-axis.

2. A small rigid body that interacts with a magnetic field is a magnetic dipole. Simultaneously its mechanical properties correspond to an asymmetrical body with mass m and the principal moments of the inertia I_1, I_2, I_3. Let $|\vec{\mu}|$N — magnetic moment (where N is 3-component *arithmetical vector*) of the rigid body is a fixed vector in the system associated with the body.

Remark. In general its direction is not coincide with any of the directions of the principal axes of inertia in contrast to the symmetric top, where we assumed that the magnetic moment parallel to the vector $\vec{E}_3$.

3. We obtain the Hamiltonian equations of motion for magnetic dipole-top in the external magnetic field from (4) with Hamiltonian (3).

Let's write the potential energy of the system and its derivatives with respect to the spatial and quaternion variables explicitly.

Potential energy of a dipole in a magnetic field is

$$V(\vec{x},q) = -\langle\vec{\mu},\vec{B}\rangle = -|\vec{\mu}|\langle\vec{\nu},\vec{B}\rangle \tag{7}$$

Let $\vec{e}_i$ are unit vectors of inertial system and $\vec{E}_k$ are directing unit vectors of the principal axes of inertia and Q_{ik} matrix transformation the variables in the body frame to the variables in the inertial system, i.e.

$$\vec{E}_k = Q_{ik}\vec{e}_i$$

Matrix Q_{ik} in quaternion variables have the form

$$Q_{ik} = (2q_0^2 - 1)\delta_{ik} + 2q_iq_k - 2q_0q_j\varepsilon_{jik}$$

Relation between components N_k of the directing unit vector of the magnetic moment in the body frame and in the inertial system is ν_i has the form

$$\nu_i = Q_{ik}\mathrm{N}_k \tag{8}$$

Then

$$\langle\vec{\nu},\vec{B}\rangle = \nu_iB^i = B^iQ_{ik}\mathrm{N}_k \tag{9}$$

$$= (2q_0^2 - 1)(\mathrm{N}_iB^i) + 2(\mathrm{N}^kq_k)(q_iB^i) + 2q_0q_j\varepsilon_{jki}\mathrm{N}^kB^i$$

We can give an invariant form for the expression (9).

Let's suppose that N^k and B^i are the components of the pure quaternions (but in geometric sense they are the components of the vectors in the *different* frames of reference).

By using quaternions the expression (8) can be written in form

$$\boldsymbol{\nu} = q\mathbf{N}q^{-1} \tag{10}$$

Accordingly

$$\langle\vec{\nu},\vec{B}\rangle = \langle\boldsymbol{B}, q\mathbf{N}q^{-1}\rangle = \langle\boldsymbol{B}q, q\mathbf{N}\rangle \tag{11}$$

Then the total differential with respect to q has the form

$$d^{(q)}\langle\vec{\nu},\vec{B}\rangle = d^{(q)}\langle\boldsymbol{B}q, q\mathbf{N}\rangle = \langle\boldsymbol{B}dq, q\mathbf{N}\rangle + \langle\boldsymbol{B}q, dq\mathbf{N}\rangle \tag{12}$$

i.e.

$$d^{(q)}\langle\vec{\nu},\vec{B}\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{B}e_\mu dq^\mu, q\mathbf{N}\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{B}q, e_\mu dq^\mu \mathbf{N}\rangle \tag{12a}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}\langle\vec{\nu},\vec{B}\rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{B}e_\mu, q\mathbf{N}\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{B}q, e_\mu \mathbf{N}\rangle \tag{12b}$$

Remark. For the scalar product of quaternions the following representations are true

$$\langle a, b\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(ab^\dagger + ba^\dagger) = \langle a^\dagger, b^\dagger\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger b + b^\dagger a) \tag{13}$$

The important properties of the scalar product are arise from here

$$\langle qa, b\rangle = \langle a, q^\dagger b\rangle; \quad \langle a, bq\rangle = \langle aq^\dagger, b\rangle, \tag{14}$$

where q, a, b – arbitrary quaternions.

Then we have ($\boldsymbol{B}^\dagger = -\boldsymbol{B}$ and $\mathbf{N}^\dagger = -\mathbf{N}$)

$$\begin{gathered}\nabla^{(q)}\langle\vec{\nu},\vec{B}\rangle = e_\mu\langle \boldsymbol{B}e_\mu, q\mathbf{N}\rangle + e_\mu\langle \boldsymbol{B}q, e_\mu\mathbf{N}\rangle = \\ -e_\mu\langle e_\mu, \boldsymbol{B}q\mathbf{N}\rangle - e_\mu\langle \boldsymbol{B}q\mathbf{N}, \boldsymbol{e_\mu}\rangle = \\ -2e_\mu\langle e_\mu, \boldsymbol{B}q\mathbf{N}\rangle = -2\boldsymbol{B}q\mathbf{N}\end{gathered} \tag{15}$$

and

$$q^{-1}\nabla^{(q)}\langle\vec{\nu},\vec{B}\rangle = -2(q^{-1}\boldsymbol{B}q)\mathbf{N} \tag{16}$$

analogically from (11) by differentiating with respect to $\vec{x}$ we obtain

$$\dot{p}_i = -\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}}V = |\vec{\mu}|\langle q^{-1}\mathbf{N}q, \partial_i\boldsymbol{B}\rangle \tag{17}$$

Substituting (16) and (17) into (4) we obtain equations of motion for the dipole-top

$$\begin{cases}\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{1}{m}\boldsymbol{p};\\ \dot{p}_i = |\vec{\mu}|\langle q^{-1}\mathbf{N}q, \partial_i\boldsymbol{B}\rangle;\\ \dot{q} = q\frac{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}{2}, \quad \Omega_i = \frac{1}{2}(I^{-1})_{ik}\mathrm{M}_k;\\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\Pi}} = -\Im\left(\boldsymbol{\Omega\Pi} + 2|\vec{\mu}|(q^{-1}\boldsymbol{B}q)\mathbf{N}\right)\end{cases} \tag{4a}$$

3 Geometric integrator

In the article [10] was declared the importance of applying the geometric integrators in study of the systems with energy conservation on long time intervals [11]. It is equally important that in our case not only the Poisson structure conserved but also the Casimir function (5). Violation of the last condition means the exit of the scope of the theory of rigid body.

In contrast to [10] where the matrix approach to description of a rigid body was implemented here we use quaternion approach. From one hand that is simplifies the control of orthogonality of a movable basis of an asymmetric rigid body but other hand requires finding of an analytical solution of the third equation of the system (4a).

Similarly to [10] for solution of (4a) on one integration step are realized the consecutive integration of equations with potential and kinetic energies. Moreover the part of system (4a) with the kinetic energy integrates fully analytically. But for the integration of part related to potential energy is applied numerical method of integration of the 2-nd order as in [11].

The analytical solution of the equation

$$\dot{q} = q\frac{\mathbf{\Omega}}{2}$$

where $\mathbf{\Omega} = const$ — pure quaternion is given by

$$q(t) = q(t_0)\exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{\Omega}(t-t_0)}{2}\right) \tag{18}$$

Remark. Function *exp* is completely determined by the following properties

$$\begin{cases} \exp(\frac{\mathbf{\Omega}}{2}t_1)\exp(\frac{\mathbf{\Omega}}{2}t_2) = \exp(\frac{\mathbf{\Omega}}{2}(t_1+t_2)); \\ \exp(0) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

From (19) is easy to verify

$$\exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{\Omega}(t-t_0)}{2}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{|\mathbf{\Omega}|(t-t_0)}{2}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{|\mathbf{\Omega}|(t-t_0)}{2}\right)\frac{\mathbf{\Omega}}{|\mathbf{\Omega}|} \tag{20}$$

Accordingly the solution of equation

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{1}{m}\boldsymbol{p}$$

has the form

$$\boldsymbol{x}(t) = \boldsymbol{x}(t_0) + \frac{\boldsymbol{p}(t_0)}{m}(t - t_0) \tag{21}$$

Thus (18) and (21) give the expressions for our geometric integrator in quaternion representation.

4 Estimation of physical parameters

As already mentioned above, as a starting point, i.e. the set of physical parameters and initial conditions we take the relative equilibrium in the Orbitron system for a symmetric top [3]. For this system the realistic physical parameters based on the properties of modern magnetic materials were choosen.

These parameters presented bellow.

For the magnets produced from $NdFeB$ density — $\rho = 7,4 \cdot 10^3 (kg/m^3)$ and residual magnetic induction — $B_r = 0,25(T)$. Then easily to get the charge of magnetic pole $\kappa = 17,6(A \cdot m)$. The distance between poles $L = 2h = 0,1(m)$.

Let's chose the movable magnet in the form of a cylinder (or rather disk) with a diameter $d = 0,014(m)$ and height $l = 0,006(m)$. So, the magnetic moment of the disk $\mu = 0,18(A \cdot m^2)$.

Eventually for the orbit of radius $r_0 = 1,5h = 0,075(m)$ we obtain the angular velocity of the orbital motion $\omega = 1,54(rad/sec)$, at that the minimum angular velocity of the disk rotation in this case is $\Omega = 72,8(rad/sec)$. Such values of the angular velocities look quite reasonable.

Remark. The initial conditions for the relative equilibrium of the Orbitron ($I_1 = I_2 = I_\perp$, N = $(0, 0, 1)$ — in body frame):

1.1. Translational variables — the same values as for Orbitron.

1.2. Rotational variables $q = e_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$.

1.3. Initial value $\Pi = 2$M, where M is initial value for Orbitron.

1.4. At start point the base vectors of the inertial system and body frame are coincide.

Variations of the initial conditions are analogous to the Orbitron. In addition to variations of the initial conditions the subject to variations are

the parameters of the body, namely, the moments of inertia $\mathbb{I}$ and directing unit vector of the magnetic moment N.

Remark: The quaternions q, N must to be normalized after operations of variations.

We conducted a simulation of 10000 trajectories that differ from each other with the random variations of parameters and initial conditions in the range of relative deviations from the starting point $\sim 1\%$. Each of the trajectories consistes of 100 quasi-orbits. Stability loss has not been detected for these quasi-orbits.

Despite the fact that first stable orbital motions have been found based on group-theoretic methods in mechanics for systems with symmetry [3,5,12], the results of this work show that with respect to the problem of dynamic stability the requirements of the symmetry are not critical. Indeed, at least for small deviations with respect to the symmetry we observe the persistence of the stability.

References

[1] S.S. Zub, S.I. Zub, Quaternions in Hamiltonian dynamics of a rigid body – Part I, arXiv:1508.02916 [math-ph]

[2] S.S. Zub, S.I. Zub, Quaternions in Hamiltonian dynamics of a rigid body – Part II. Relation of canonical Poisson and Lie-Poisson structures, arXiv:1508.04005 [math-ph]

[3] S.S. Zub, Stable orbital motion of magnetic dipole in the field of permanent magnets // Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena. 2014. V. 275C, P. 6773.

[4] L. Grigoryeva, J.-P. Ortega, S.S. Zub, Stability of Hamiltonian relative equilibria in symmetric magnetically confined rigid bodies // Journal of Geometric Mechanics. 2014. V. 6, N. 3, P. 373415.

[5] S.S. Zub, S.I. Zub, Hamiltonian dynamics of a symmetric top in external fields having axial symmetry. Levitating Orbitron, arXiv:1502.04674v1 [math-ph]

[6] J. Marsden, T. Ratiu, Introduction to mechanics and symmetry. – New York: Springer, 1999. – 553 p.

[7] J.-P. Ortega, T.S. Ratiu, Non-linear stability of singular relative periodic orbits in Hamiltonian systems with symmetry // J. Geom. Phys. 1999. 32. P. 160.

[8] E. Salamin, Applications of quaternion to computation with rotations // Internal Report, Stanford University: Stanford – 1979. – 1 – P. 1-9.

[9] A.V. Borisov, I.S. Mamaev, Dynamics of rigid body. – Izd. UdSU: Izhevsk – 2001. – 379 p.

[10] H.R. Dullin, Poisson integrator for symmetric rigid bodies // Reg. and Ch.Dyn. 2004. V. 9, N. 3, P. 255264.

[11] E. Hairer, C. Lubich, G. Wanner, Geometric numerical integration. – Springer, Berlin – 2002.

[12] S.S. Zub, Mathematical model of magnetically interacting rigid bodies // PoS(ACAT08)116. 2009. 5 p.